

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,359	12/01/2003	Kouki Ozaki	QZAKI9	8081
1444	1444 7590 07/11/2005		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HALPERN, MARK	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,359	OZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Halpern	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<u>une 2005</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	s action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,7,9,11,14,16,18 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 3-6,8,10,12,13 and 15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/03.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention of species of method of making a polarizable electrode for an electric double layer capacitor as recited in claim 3, drawn on claims 3-6, 8, 10, 12-13, 15, in the reply filed on 6/10/2005, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species appear to relate to the same disclosed embodiment. This is not found persuasive because the species are not the same.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-2, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18, 20, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

# Specification

2) Cross-Reference to Related Applications is not recited on page 1 of the Specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1731

3) Claims 3-6, 8, 10, 12-13, 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 3 is not clear; lines 3-7 recite primary mixing into a primary mixture and secondary mixing into material mixture, however the rest of the claim repeats the same process by making a primary forming material and a secondary forming material.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 3-6, 8, 10, 12-13, 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masanori (JP-2-235320). To the extend that the invention is understood, Masanori discloses a method of making an electrode for electrical double layer capacitor. In the process an activated carbon fiber and polymer resin, propylene glycol and PTFE are mixed and kneaded to obtain a rubber like viscous mixture. This mixture is rolled by a roll to obtain a sheet of a prescribed thickness. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the

Art Unit: 1731

kneeding be performed in two steps and the binder assistant to be added in the claimed amount depending on the final product and application requirements.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-6, 8, 10, 12-13, 15, are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of copending Application No. 10/724,360. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present invention and the copending application recite a method of making an electrode for electrical double layer capacitor, wherein an activated carbon fiber and binders and additives are mixed and kneaded to obtain a mixture which is rolled to obtain a sheet of a prescribed thickness. Any differences are obvious.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731